

Communication

The Topopyrones Poison Human DNA Topoisomerases I and II

Qasim A. Khan, Mark A. Elban, and Sidney M. Hecht

J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2008, 130 (39), 12888-12889 • DOI: 10.1021/ja805421b • Publication Date (Web): 06 September 2008

Downloaded from http://pubs.acs.org on February 8, 2009



More About This Article

Additional resources and features associated with this article are available within the HTML version:

- Supporting Information
- Access to high resolution figures
- Links to articles and content related to this article
- Copyright permission to reproduce figures and/or text from this article

View the Full Text HTML





Published on Web 09/06/2008

The Topopyrones Poison Human DNA Topoisomerases I and II

Qasim A. Khan,[†] Mark A. Elban,[‡] and Sidney M. Hecht^{*,†,‡}

Center for BioEnergetics, Biodesign Institute, Arizona State University, Tempe, Arizona 85287, and Departments of Chemistry and Biology, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, Virginia 22904

Received July 13, 2008; E-mail: sid.hecht@asu.edu

Human DNA topoisomerases are essential for the processes of chromosomal segregation and relaxation of DNA during replication and transcription, and may also be required for recombination.¹ Generally, topoisomerase poisons convert a functional enzyme to a DNA damaging agent by trapping the covalent binary complex formed between a topoisomerase and its DNA substrate.² DNA topoisomerase I is a ubiquitous nuclear enzyme which catalyzes the relaxation of superhelical DNA by inducing a transient single strand nick in the duplex through cycles of cleavage and religation.³ In contrast, DNA topoisomerase II mediates the ATP-dependent induction of coordinated nicks in both strands of the DNA duplex, followed by passage of another double strand DNA through the transiently broken duplex.⁴

Several human topoisomerase II-directed agents are used clinically for antitumor therapy, including etoposide, doxorubicin, amsacrine, and mitoxantrone.⁵ In contrast, only a single family of topoisomerase I-targeted agents, the camptothecins,^{2b} are used clinically at present.⁶ However, several promising new topoisomerase I poisons have been identified, including indolocarbazoles,⁷ indenoisoquinolines,⁸ terbenzimidazoles,⁹ aporphine alkaloids,¹⁰ and the topopyrones.¹¹

The topopyrones are planar anthraquinones having a fused 1,4pyrone ring; they bear structural relationships both to doxorubicin and to some of the pluramycins.¹² Four topopyrones (A - D) (Figure 1) have been isolated from the culture broths of two fungi, Phoma sp. BAUA2861 and Penicillium sp. BAUA4206.11 The four topopyrones strongly inhibited the growth of yeast harboring a plasmid for human topoisomerase I and did so only under conditions leading to expression of human topoisomerase I. They were also reported to inhibit DNA relaxation mediated by topoisomerase I, but not by topoisomerase II.^{11a} While the study of topopyrones has been limited by their exclusive availability as natural products, recent synthetic efforts have now provided access to all four naturally occurring topopyrones¹³ as well as synthetic analogues.^{13c} Presently we report that in addition to stabilization of the topoisomerase I-DNA covalent binary complex,^{11a} the topopyrones are also strong topoisomerase II poisons.

Shown in Figure 2 is the effect of topopyrones A–D on the topoisomerase I-mediated cleavage of a 23 bp double strand DNA derived from the *Tetrahymena thermophilus* rDNA spacer sequence,¹⁴ which is known to contain a strong topoisomerase I cleavage site.¹⁵ Each of the topopyrones stabilized the enzyme-DNA covalent binary complex at the same site as camptothecin, but did so less efficiently.¹⁶ These results are fully in agreement with the earlier reports for the compounds.¹¹

Figure 3 illustrates the effect of topopyrones A–D on the toposomerase II α^{17} mediated cleavage of a 34-bp oligonucleotide derived from the simian virus 40 nuclear matrix associated protein.¹⁸



Figure 1. Structures of topopyrones A, B, C, and D.



Figure 2. Effect of topopyrones A–D on topoisomerase I-mediated DNA cleavage and religation of a 23-bp DNA substrate. Lane M contained a 13-nucleotide molecular weight standard.

The topoisomerase II α poison VP-16 stabilizes a cleavage site at position 4265 (upper strand of DNA duplex shown in Figure 3), generating a free 16-nt product observable when the upper strand is [5'-³²P]-end labeled. At the concentrations tested, the four topopyrones were as potent as VP-16, a clinically used antitumor agent, in stabilizing the topoisomerase II-DNA covalent binary complex. Assay of topoisomerase II α -mediated cleavage of the lower strand of the same DNA substrates (Figure 4) indicated precisely the same effect.¹⁹

[†] Arizona State University.

[‡] University of Virginia.



Figure 3. Effect of topopyrones A-D on human topoisomerase IIamediated DNA cleavage and religation of a 34-bp DNA substrate radiolabeled at the 5'-end of the upper strand shown.



Figure 4. Effect of topopyrones A-D on human topoisomerase IIamediated DNA cleavage and religation of a 34-bp DNA substrate radiolabeled at the 5'-end of the lower strand shown. Lane M contained a 5'-32P end labeled 16-mer oligonucleotide standard.

The experiments shown in Figures 2 -4 establish unequivocally that topopyrones A-D act as poisons of both topoisomerase I and topoisomerase $II\alpha$, both of which are validated targets for antitumor therapy. The results obtained in comparison with the clinically used agents camptothecin (a specific topoisomerase I poison^{2b}) and VP-16 (a specific topoisomerase IIa-poison^{5a}) suggest that the actions of topopyrones A-D may be more potent at the locus of topoisomerase IIa-DNA interaction.

The topopyrones thus represent a rare example of molecules capable of interacting effectively with more than one DNA topoisomerase.²⁰ The exploration of modified topopyrones optimized for interaction at both loci offers an interesting opportunity to enhance the antitumor activity of these interesting dual DNA topoisomerase poisons.

Acknowledgment. This work was supported by a research grant from the Arizona Technology and Research Initiative Fund.

Supporting Information Available: Experimental procedures for oligonucleotide preparation and topoisomerase-mediated DNA oligonucleotide cleavage. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

References

- (a) Wang, J. C. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. 2002, 3, 430–440. (b) Pommier, Y. Nat. Rev. Cancer 2006, 6, 789–802.
 (a) Nelson, E. M.; Tewey, K. M.; Liu, L. F. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 1984, 81, 1361–1365. (b) Hsiang, Y. H.; Hertzberg, R.; Hecht, S.; Liu, L. F. J. Biol. Chem. 1985, 260, 14873–14878.
 (a) Wang, J. C. Annu. Rev. Biochem. 1996, 65, 635–692. (b) Champoux, L. L. Annu, Rev. Biochem. 2001, 70, 260, 413
- J. J. Annu. Rev. Biochem. 2001, 70, 369-413
- (4) Liu, L. F.; Liu, C. C.; Alberts, B. M. Cell 1980, 19, 697-707
- (a) Chen, A. Y.; Liu, L. F. Annu. Rev. Pharmacol. Toxicol. 1994, 34, 191-(5)218. (b) Wilstermann, A. M.; Osheroff, N. Curr. Top. Med. Chem. 2003, 3. 321–338.
- (6) Potmesil, M. *Cancer Res.* **1994**, *54*, 1431–1439.
 (7) Bailly, C.; Riou, J. F.; Colson, P.; Houssier, C.; Rodrigues-Pereira, E.; Prudhomme, M. *Biochemistry* **1997**, *36*, 3917–3929.
 (8) Marchand, C.; Antony, S.; Kohn, K. W.; Cushman, M.; Ioanoviciu, A.;
- Staker, B. L.; Burgin, A. B.; Stewart, L.; Pommier, Y. Mol. Cancer Ther. 2006, 5, 287-295.
- (9) Khan, Q. A.; Pilch, D. S. J. Mol. Biol. 2007, 365, 561–569.
 (10) Zhou, B.-N.; Johnson, R. K.; Mattern, M. R.; Wang, X.; Hecht, S. M.; Beck, H. T.; Ortiz, A.; Kingston, D. G. I. J. Nat. Prod. 2000, 63, 217–221.
- (11) (a) Kanai, Y.; Ishiyama, D.; Senda, H.; Iwatani, W.; Takahashi, H.; Konno, H.; Tokumasu, S.; Kanazawa, S. J. Antibiot. (Tokyo) 2000, 53, 863-872. (b) Ishiyama, D.; Kanai, Y.; Senda, H.; Iwatani, W.; Takahashi, H.; Konno, H.; Kanazawa, S. J. Antibiot. (Tokyo) 2000, 53, 873-878.
- (12) Sun, D.; Hansen, M.; Clement, J. J.; Hurley, L. H. Biochemistry 1993, 32, 8068-8074
- (13) (a) Tan, J. S.; Ciufolini, M. A. Org. Lett. 2006, 8, 4771–4774. (b) Gattinoni, S.; Merlini, L.; Dallavelle, S. Tetrahedron Lett. 2007, 48, 1049–1051. (c) Elban, M. A.; Hecht, S. M. J. Org. Chem. 2008, 73, 785–793.
- Libal, M. A., Hecht, S. M. J. Org. Chem. 2006, 75, 763–795.
 Bonven, B. J.; Gocke, E.; Westergaard, O. Cell 1985, 41, 541–551.
 (15) (a) Pommier, Y.; Kohlhagen, G.; Kohn, K. W.; Leteurtre, F.; Wani, M. C.; Wall, M. E. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 1995, 92, 8861–8865. (b) Tanizawa, A.; Kohn, K. W.; Kohlhagen, G.; Leteurtre, F.; Pommier, Y. Distribution 1005 24, 2020 7200. Biochemistry 1995, 34, 7200-7206.
- (16) Interestingly, the concentration dependence of binary complex stabilization by some of the topopyrones was not concentration dependent, perhaps reflecting direct DNA binding at higher concentrations.
- (17) Topoisomerase II α is associated with mitototic chromosomes and is preferentially expressed in proliferating cells: Heck, M. M.; Hittleman, W. N.; Earnshaw, W. C. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **1988**, *85*, 1086–1090. Whereas topoisomerase II β levels do not change significantly during the cell cycle: Capranico, G.; Tinelli, S.; Austin, C. A.; Fisher, M. L.; Zunino, F. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* **1992**, *1132*, 43–48.
 (18) Pommier, Y.; Capranico, G.; Orr, A.; Kohn, K. W. J. Mol. Biol. **1991**, 222, 909–924.
- (19) The incubations for the experiments in Figures 2-4 were carried out in 10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, for 30 min (see Supporting Information).
- (20) Examples of other reported dual topoisomerase poisons include: (a) Leteurtre, F.; Fujimori, A.; Tanizawa, A.; Chhabra, A.; Mazumder, A.; Kohlhagen, G.; Nakano, H.; Pommier, Y. J. Biol. Chem. **1994**, 269, 28702– 28707. (b) Rao, V. A.; Agama, K.; Holbeck, S.; Pommier, Y. *Cancer Res.* 2007. 67, 9971–9979.

JA805421B